|     | $\cap$ | <u>ر</u> | $\cap$ | 5   | a | 5 | 1 | 2 |
|-----|--------|----------|--------|-----|---|---|---|---|
| ١., |        | . )      |        | ٠., |   |   |   | _ |

Approved For Release 2007/11/13 : CIA-RDP78T05449A000200010001-0

TOP SECRET

Copy 4U

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

21 February 1962

SUBJECT: AREAS HIGHLY SUSPECTED TO CONTAIN SOVIET ICEM LAUNCHING FACILITIES

The Problem: To prepare an up-to-date list of areas in the USSR which are highly suspected to contain ICBM launching facilities, but in which we cannot at present confirm the existence of such facilities.\*

## Highly Suspected Areas

1. We conclude that five areas, other than those containing confirmed complexes, can be designated as highly suspected to contain ICHM launch facilities at this time. (See Map, Confirmed ICHM Launch Complexes and Highly Suspect Deployment Areas.) The highly suspect areas are listed in the Table, together with brief summaries of the evidence and criteria used in making our judgments.

TOP SECRET

This report, prepared by a CIA/DIA Task Force, is a part of the continuous review of evidence from all sources by USIB agencies and presents the current status of suspect ICBM deployment areas. In preparing this report, we have reviewed previous GMAIC studies on deployment of ICBM complexes and have examined other areas suggested by new information, including those contained in lists supplied by SAC.

# TOP SECRET

Two areas highly suspected to contain first generation ICRM launching facilities (Plesetsk and the Kola Pen) are in Northwestern USSR. One first generation area (Ufa), and two second generation areas (Perm and Omsk) are located in the broad region bounded generally by 54° to 60° North latitude and 40° to 90° East longitude, within which all six confirmed second generation ICBM launch complexes are located. We are able to designate a specific suspected installation in only two (Plesetsk and Omsk) of the five highly suspected areas.

#### TABLE

### Areas Highly Suspected to Contain ICBM Launch Facilities

### 1st Generation System

| Plesetsk       | KEYHOLE photography reveals a large, secur SAM defended installation near Plesetsk. The function of this installation cannot be determined through existing photography |
|----------------|---|
|                | Of the areas  |
|                | listed, Plesetsk is the most highly suspected, and it is accepted as such in current estimates.   |
| Kola Peninsula | The area extends along the railline connecting Murmansk, Olenya and Kandalaksha. Available KEYHOLE photography is not adequate either to confirm or negate ICBM         |
|                | launch facilities in this area.   |
|                |   |

25X1

25X1

25X′ 25X′

| יסי | $\cap$ | 15  | 1  | 5 | a   | 5 | 1 | 2 |
|-----|--------|-----|----|---|-----|---|---|---|
| ι.  | 1      | ו ו | ı. |   | , 9 | ) |   |   |

Approved For Release 2007/11/13: CIA-RDP78T05449A000200010001-0

TOP SECRET

25X1

Ufa

Available KEYHOLE photography is not adequate either to confirm or negate ICBM launch facilities in this area. Evidence in 1960, notably a missile sighting by a legal traveler, suggested an ICBM launch facility in the area north and east of Ufa.

#### 2nd Generation System

Perm

Available KEYHOLE photography is not adequate to either confirm or negate ICBM launch facilities in this area.

Omsk

KEYHOLE photography does not reveal an identifiable ICBM complex. An installation about eight n.m. northeast of the city, detected in December 1961, may be an ICBM launch complex support facility in very early stages of construction.

2. The areas listed in the table are highly suspected on the basis of evidence available at this time. Each may contain one or more ICHM complexes. The highly suspected areas are designated as first or second generation according to the timing of the evidence leading to suspicion. Although construction of first generation complexes has probably been completed, second generation complexes are believed to be in various stages of construction, with launchers

- 3 -

TOP SECRET

25X1 25X1

25X1

| <b>'</b> C | $\sim$ |   | $\sim$ | _ | $\sim$ |   | -1  | $\sim$ |
|------------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|-----|--------|
| 1 '        | 1 1    | ~ |        | - | ч      | ゝ | - 1 |        |
|            |        |   |        |   |        |   |     |        |

Approved For Release 2007/11/13: CIA-RDP78T05449A000200010001-0

| -    | O |   | ^   | _ | C | - | _ | - |
|------|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| - 11 | 1 | u | •   |   | ſ | v | - |   |
|      |   | • | . 1 |   |   | п |   |   |

| 1 |
|---|

25X1

25X1

at some of them likely to become operational in the first half of this year. Because the program for construction of second generation launching facilities is now under way, new starts can be expected throughout the coming months.

3. For these reasons and because of our past experience with lists of this type, we do not believe that the Table includes all areas where ICEM complexes will be found in the future, nor do we believe that all of those areas listed will in fact prove to contain ICEM launching facilities. Of the six second generation launch complexes which have been confirmed, only one was indicated by COMINT and collateral data prior to KEYHOLE confirmation, although two others are in areas which had previously been suspected to contain first generation complexes. Moreover, while the available COMINT and collateral evidence varies in quality, it is generally fragmentary and inconclusive, largely because of the tight security the Soviets are maintaining over the ICEM program. For these reasons, we cannot rely on non-photographic evidence to contribute substantially to the basic problem of locating ICEM complexes at this time.

4. Based on the location of confirmed ICBM complexes and other criteria, we regard the region between 54° and 60° North

- 4 -

TOP SECRET

25X1

25X1

| $\sim$ | $\cap$ | 5   | $\cap$ | 5 | 0 | 5            | 1   | 2 |
|--------|--------|-----|--------|---|---|--------------|-----|---|
| ν.     | v      | . } | ١.     |   |   | <i>!</i> : ) | - 1 |   |

Approved For Release 2007/11/13: CIA-RDP78T05449A000200010001-0

| $T \cap$                                | D | SF           | $\sim$ | <b>1</b> |     |
|---|---|--------------|--------|----------|-----|
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |   | $\mathbf{r}$ |        |          | - / |
|   |   |              |        |          |     |

latitude and 40° and 90° East longitude as the "prime," i.e., most likely, region of additional second generation deployment activity. (See Map) In addition, northwestern USSR is a highly suspect region for the deployment of the first generation ICBM. The fragmentary nature of the COMINT and collateral evidence makes it im-

however, that search of the regions described in this paragraph would be as likely to reveal additional ICBM complexes as would

possible at this time to pinpoint additional highly suspected de-

ployment areas within these general regions. It is our judgment,

search of the highly suspected areas we have listed.

25X1

25X1

TOP SECRET

|  | Approved For Re   |            |   | •  |                  |  |
|--|---|------------|---|--|------------------|--|
|  | 101   | SECRET     |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
| Areas  | Excluded from T   | able       |   |  |                  |  |
| 6 m  | e Dolon Ceminal   | etinek ene | . 14-4-2  | <b></b>  | 1                |  |
|  | Dolon-Semipal   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  | 3/1-61 <b>las</b> t Sept  |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  | deployment area   | •          |   |  |                  |  |
| Table Red  | ent KEYHOLE cov   | erage lead | s us to belie                                   | eve that the   | ere is           |  |
| no ICBM Lan  | nch complex wit   | hin 50 mil | es of the Dol                                   | lon airfield   | . Con-           |  |
| siderable  |   |            | _   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
|  |   |            |   |  |                  |  |
| 7. <b>T</b>  | e Kamyshin area   | , listed a | s a suspect s                                   | rea in NIE   | 11-8/1-61        |  |
|  | e Kamyshin area   |            |   |  | •                |  |
| and conside  |   | undetermi  | ned, has also                                   | been exclu   | ded.             |  |
| and conside  | red by GMAIC as   | undetermin | ned, has also                                   | been exclu   | ded<br>nce of    |  |
| and conside<br>from the Ta<br>the Kamyshi                              | red by GMAIC as   | undetermin | ned, has also<br>overage and o                  | been exclusions been exclusions been exclusive the contract of | ded nce of time, |  |
| and consider<br>from the Ta<br>the Kamyshi                             | red by GMAIC as<br>ble. While the<br>n area does not<br>the evidence is | undetermin | ned, has also<br>overage and o                  | been exclusions been exclusions been exclusive the contract of | ded nce of time, |  |
| and conside<br>from the Ta<br>the Kamyshi<br>we believe<br>highly susp | red by GMAIC as<br>ble. While the<br>n area does not<br>the evidence is | undetermin | ned, has also<br>overage and d<br>egating the a | OMINT evide<br>rea at this   | ded nce of time, |  |

- 6 -

TOP SECRET

|              |                        |    |     | _ |   |
|--------------|------------------------|----|-----|---|---|
| <b>■</b> C05 | 105                    | 95 | 12  |   |   |
|              | $\prime$ $\cup$ $\cup$ |    | 1 4 |   | _ |

Approved For Release 2007/11/13 : CIA-RDP78T05449A000200010001-0

\*\*TOP SECRET\*\*

25X1

25X1

25X1

from the Table. It was listed as a suspected area in NIE 11-8/1-61, but is now considered doubtful by GMAIC. KEYHOLE coverage is not adequate either to confirm or negate the presence of ICEM launch facilities in this area.

9. Aside from the changes indicated by this report and a few other minor adjustments of no consequence to the present problem, the GMAIC evaluations of specific areas attached to the USIB memorandum of 16 November 1961 remain valid. We have also examined additional areas suggested by SAC in a list transmitted to DIA on 29 January 1962, and have found none which we regard as highly suspect at this time.

\_C05059512